arXiv:math-ph/0102001v1 1 Feb 2001

Generalized Maxwell and Weyl Equations for Massless Particles*

Valeri V. Dvoeglazov

Escuela de Física, Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas

Apartado Postal C-580, Zacatecas 98068 Zac., México

E-mail: valeri@ahobon.reduaz.mx

URL: http://ahobon.reduaz.mx/˜ valeri/valeri.htm

(December 2000)

I discuss generalized Maxwell and Weyl equations. They may lead to dynamics which are different from those accepted at the present time. For instance, the photon may have non-transverse components and the neutrino may be *not* in the chiral states.

The content of this talk is the following:

- the van der Waerden-Sakurai derivation of the Dirac equation;
- the Gersten derivation of Maxwell equations and equations for arbitrary spin;
- the correction of the Gersten derivation (if one considers all solutions of the d'Alembert equation, scalar fields appear);
- massless particles with massive parameters;
- physical origins and physical conclusions.

The contribution is based on recent papers [1–4]. Gersten [1a] writes: "We have shown how all Maxwell equations can be derived simultaneously from first principles, similar to those which have been used to derive the Dirac relativistic electron equation" and concludes: "...Maxwell equations should be used as a guideline for proper interpretation of quantum theories".

In fact, he used a method presented by van der Waerden and Sakurai [5]. Let us start with the Klein-Gordon equation:

$$(E^2 - c^2\mathbf{p}^2 - m^2c^4)\Psi_{(2)} = 0\,, \tag{1}$$

hence (for Ψ with *two* components and $c = \hbar = 1$)

$$(EI^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{p})(EI^{(2)} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{p})\Psi_{(2)} = m^2\Psi_{(2)}\,. \tag{2}$$

---

*Presented at the Fourth Mexican School on Gravitation and Mathematical Physics, December 3-8, 2000, Huatulco, Oaxaca, Mexico.

Let us denote $\Psi_{(2)} = \eta$, then we have

$$\frac{(EI^{(2)} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{m} \eta = \chi \,, \tag{3a}$$

$$(EI^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \chi = m\eta \,. \tag{3b}$$

In the 4-component form one has

$$\begin{pmatrix} -mI^{(2)} & EI^{(2)} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \\ EI^{(2)} - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} & -mI^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{4}$$

With the quantum-operator substitutions $E \to i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\mathbf{p} \to -i\hbar\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ we recover the Dirac equation![1]

In the $S = 1$ and $m = 0$ case we proceed in a similar way:

$$\left(\frac{E^2}{c^2} - \mathbf{p}^2\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(3)} = \left(\frac{E}{c} I^{(3)} - \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{p}\right) \left(\frac{E}{c} I^{(3)} + \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{p}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(3)} - \begin{pmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \\ p_z \end{pmatrix} \left(\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(3)}\right) = 0 \,, \quad \text{Eq. (9) of [1a]} \tag{5}$$

where $(\mathbf{S}^i)^{jk} = -i\epsilon^{ijk}$.[2] Gersten found that solutions are defined by

$$\left(\frac{E}{c} I^{(3)} + \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{p}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(3)} = 0 \qquad \text{Eq. (10) of [1a]}$$

$$\left(\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(3)}\right) = 0 \qquad \text{Eq. (11) of [1a]}$$

and their complex conjugates; the latter may be interpreted as the ones for opposite helicity. If one assumes $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(3)} = \mathbf{E} - i\mathbf{B}$ then after quantum operator substitutions:

$$\frac{i\hbar}{c} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(3)}}{\partial t} = -\hbar \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(3)} \,, \tag{7a}$$

$$-i\hbar \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{(3)} = 0 \,, \tag{7b}$$

or

---

[1] One can also decompose (1) into the 4-component form from the beginning

$$(EI^{(4)} + \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + m\beta)(EI^{(4)} - \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} - m\beta)\Psi_{(4)} = 0$$

and then look for $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and β.

[2] Please note the difference $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 = \mathbf{p}^2$, but $(\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{p})^3 = (\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{p})$; the spin-1 matrices are *singular* (sic!).

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times(\mathbf{E}-i\mathbf{B}) = -\frac{i}{c}\frac{\partial(\mathbf{E}-i\mathbf{B})}{\partial t}\,, \tag{8a}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot(\mathbf{E}-i\mathbf{B}) = 0\,. \tag{8b}$$

Please note that the Planck constant was cancelled out! Separating into real and imaginary parts will lead to

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\vec{\mathbf{E}} = -\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial\vec{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial t}\,, \tag{9}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times\vec{\mathbf{B}} = \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial\vec{\mathbf{E}}}{\partial t}\,, \tag{10}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{E}} = 0\,, \tag{11}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\vec{\mathbf{B}} = 0\,. \tag{12}$$

The situation is the same if one starts with the complex conjugate function $\mathbf{\Psi}^*_{(3)} = \mathbf{E}+i\mathbf{B}$.

Lagrangian. The Lagrangian for this theory has recently been presented in [3,8]:

$$\Lambda = -c\mathbf{\Psi}^\dagger_{(3)}(\frac{E}{c}I^{(3)} + \mathbf{S}\cdot\mathbf{p})\;\mathbf{\Psi}_{(3)}\,. \tag{13}$$

However, it was shown in [7] that such a form of Lagrangians is *not* a scalar (it is a zero component of a 4-vector; see also [6]).

The relativistic spin generalization. We have

$$W_\mu W^\mu = -s(s+1)p_\mu p^\mu I^{(s)} \tag{14}$$

and

$$W^\mu p_\mu = 0 \tag{15}$$

by definition. Hence,

$$(W_\mu - sp_\mu)(W^\mu + sp^\mu) = -s(2s+1)p_\mu p^\mu I^{(s)}\,, \tag{16}$$

which is similar to Eq. (9) of ref. [1a] if one imposes $p_\mu p^\mu = m^2 = 0$.

Therefore, we have

$$(\mathbf{S}\cdot\mathbf{p} - sp_0)\mathbf{\Psi}_{(s)} = 0\,, \tag{17a}$$
$$(\mathbf{S}p_0 + i\mathbf{S}\times\mathbf{p} - s\mathbf{p})\mathbf{\Psi}_{(s)} = 0\,. \tag{17b}$$

It is easy to see that in the $S=1$ case one can recover the previous consideration [1b]. The second equation can be considered as a subsidiary condition

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p_x & p_y & p_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\mathbf{\Psi}_{(3)} = 0\,. \tag{18}$$

In [2] we corrected his claim. The equation (9) of ref. [1a] is satisfied also under the choice

$$\left(\frac{E}{c}I^{(3)} + \mathbf{S}\cdot\mathbf{p}\right)\mathbf{\Psi}_{(3)} = \mathbf{p}\chi\,, \tag{19a}$$

$$\left(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{\Psi}_{(3)}\right) = \frac{E}{c}\chi\,, \tag{19b}$$

due to $(\mathbf{S}\cdot\mathbf{p})\mathbf{p} = 0$. The above set leads to

$$\mathbf{\nabla}\times\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial\mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{\nabla}Im\chi\,, \tag{20a}$$

$$\mathbf{\nabla}\times\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial\mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{\nabla}Re\chi\,, \tag{20b}$$

$$\mathbf{\nabla}\cdot\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}Re\chi\,, \tag{20c}$$

$$\mathbf{\nabla}\cdot\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}Im\chi\,, \tag{20d}$$

with an additional scalar field. It is also possible that

$$\left(\frac{E}{c}I^{(3)} - \mathbf{S}\cdot\mathbf{p}\right)\mathbf{\Psi}'_{(3)} = \mathbf{p}\chi' \tag{21a}$$

$$\left(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{\Psi}'_{(3)}\right) = \frac{E}{c}\chi'\,. \tag{21b}$$

If $\chi' = \chi^*$ then $\mathbf{\Psi}'_{(3)} = \mathbf{E} + i\mathbf{B}$ and we recover the generalized Maxwell equations (20a-20d). What is the physical interpretation of obtained results?

- We obtain $\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{\Psi}_{(3)} \neq 0$, therefore the free photon may have a non-transverse component [9];
- The χ-fields may be function(al) of higher-rank tensor fields, thus leading to equations which are non-linear in $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ (cf. ref. [10]);
- One can find possible relations to the Ogievetskiĭ-Polubarinov-Kalb-Ramond field [11–13]. After performing the Bargmann-Wigner procedure for higher-spin fields we obtain

  $$\partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\mu} + \frac{m}{2}A^\mu = 0\,, \tag{22a}$$

  $$2mF^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu\,, \tag{22b}$$

  instead of the well-known Proca set:

  $$\partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\mu} + m^2 A^\mu = 0\,, \tag{23a}$$

  $$F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu\,, \tag{23b}$$

  In fact, these sets are related to one another by a re-normalization transformation: $A^\mu \rightarrow 2mA^\mu$ or $F^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2m}F^{\mu\nu}$. Ogievetskiĭ and Polubarinov [11] wrote: "In the massless limit the system of $2s+1$ states is no longer irreducible; it decomposes and describes a set of different particles with zero mass and helicities $\pm s, \pm(s-1), \pm 1, 0$

(for integer spin and if parity is conserved); the situation is analogous for half-integer spins."[3] One can also see this after performing the Lorentz transformation in the $(1/2,1/2)$ representation, $A^\mu = \Lambda^\mu\ {}_\nu A^\nu_{basis}$ and looking for massless limit. Thus, we find

$$u^\mu(\mathbf{p},+1) = -\frac{N}{\sqrt{2}m}\begin{pmatrix} p_r \\ m+\frac{p_1 p_r}{E_p+m} \\ im+\frac{p_2 p_r}{E_p+m} \\ \frac{p_3 p_r}{E_p+m}\end{pmatrix}, \quad u^\mu(\mathbf{p},-1) = \frac{N}{\sqrt{2}m}\begin{pmatrix} p_l \\ m+\frac{p_1 p_l}{E_p+m} \\ -im+\frac{p_2 p_l}{E_p+m} \\ \frac{p_3 p_l}{E_p+m}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{24}$$

and

$$u^\mu(\mathbf{p},0) = \frac{N}{m}\begin{pmatrix} p_3 \\ \frac{p_1 p_3}{E_p+m} \\ \frac{p_2 p_3}{E_p+m} \\ m+\frac{p_3^2}{E_p+m}\end{pmatrix}, \quad u^\mu(\mathbf{p},0_t) = \frac{N}{m}\begin{pmatrix} E_p \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3\end{pmatrix}. \tag{25}$$

Please note that for helicities $\sigma = \pm 1, 0$ one has $p_\mu u^\mu(\mathbf{p},\sigma) = 0$ (an analogue of the Lorentz condition [13]). This is not the case for "time-like" photons.

In view of the fact that in the case $N = 1$ we have divergent behaviour of certain parts of the 4-vector momentum-space functions in $m \to 0$, the first degree of m in the equations (22a,22b) can cancel this divergent term in the denominators. The massless limits of the Proca-like equations are actually

$$\partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\mu} = -\frac{m}{2}A^\mu \Longrightarrow \partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\mu} = \partial^\mu \chi\,. \tag{26}$$

- Of course, when we pass over to the second quantization, the commutation relations for $F^{\mu\nu}$ and A^μ may be changed in order to keep the correct dimension of the fields and in order the action to be *dimensionless*.

- Ogievetskiĭ and Polubarinov, Kalb and Ramond [11,12] analized the scalar Lagrangian of the antisymmetric tensor field [7,13,14] and "gauge out" the *transverse* components by means of

$$F_{\mu\nu} \to F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu - \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu\,, \tag{27}$$

the new "gauge" transformation. Therefore, they obtained a *pure* longitudinal field, the *notoph* (or, the *Kalb-Ramond field*, as it is frequently called in the US literature).

---

[3] One can compare this statement with recent works of Dra. M. Kirchbach.

- In [14,13] I have shown that a map exists between the Ogievetskiĭ-Polubarinov formulation and the Weinberg $2(2s+1)$ theory [15]. In the latter case the Lagrangian is given by

  $$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \overline{\Psi}_{(6)} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \Psi_{(6)} \pm m^2 \overline{\Psi}_{(6)} \Psi_{(6)}\,, \tag{28}$$

  (or its analogues for fields of different dimensions). The $\gamma^{\mu\nu}$ is a complete set of covariant matrices of the $(1,0)\oplus(0,1)$ representation; $\Psi_{(6)}$ and $\overline{\Psi}_{(6)}$ are bivectors. In general, various Ψ can be used, which differ by discrete symmetry transformations. The map exists between the equations obtained from (28)

  $$[\gamma^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu \mp m^2]\Psi_{(6)} = 0 \tag{29}$$

  and

  $$\partial_\mu \partial^\alpha F_\alpha^{\ \ \nu} - \partial^\nu \partial^\alpha F_{\alpha\mu} = -m^2 F_\mu^{\ \ \nu} \tag{30}$$

  (and its dual).

Next, we return to the van der Waerden-Sakurai derivation of the Dirac equation:

$$(E^2 - c^2\vec{\mathbf{p}}^{\,2}) I^{(2)} \Psi_{(2)} = \left[E I^{(2)} - c\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{p}\right]\left[E I^{(2)} + c\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{p}\right]\Psi_{(2)} = m_2^2 c^4 \Psi_{(2)}\,. \tag{31}$$

If one denotes $\Psi_{(2)} = \eta$ one can define $\chi = \frac{1}{m_1 c}(i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} - i\hbar\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})\eta$. Please note that we introduced the second mass parameter m_1. The corresponding set of 2-component equations is

$$(i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} - i\hbar\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})\eta = m_1 c\chi\,, \tag{32a}$$

$$(i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + i\hbar\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})\chi = \frac{m_2^2 c}{m_1}\eta\,. \tag{32b}$$

In the 4-component form we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} i\hbar(\partial/\partial x_0) & i\hbar\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla} \\ -i\hbar\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla} & -i\hbar(\partial/\partial x_0)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\chi+\eta \\ \chi-\eta\end{pmatrix} = \frac{c}{2}\begin{pmatrix}(m_2^2/m_1 + m_1) & (-m_2^2/m_1 + m_1) \\ (-m_2^2/m_1 + m_1) & (m_2^2/m_1 + m_1)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\chi+\eta \\ \chi-\eta\end{pmatrix}, \tag{33}$$

which results in

$$\left[i\hbar\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - \frac{m_2^2 c}{m_1}\frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} - m_1 c\frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}\right]\Psi_{(4)} = 0\,. \tag{34}$$

The “new” massless equation is ($m_2 \to 0$, $m_1 \neq 0$)

$$\left[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - \frac{m_1 c}{\hbar}\frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}\right]\Psi_{(4)} = 0\,. \tag{35}$$

It is easy to check that dispersion relations are $E = \pm|\mathbf{p}|$, that gives us the rights to call it *massless*, even though there is a “mass” parameter in (35).

My comments are:

- Starting from a bit different definitions [4], one can also obtain the equation

$$[i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \frac{m_3 c}{\hbar}\frac{1-\gamma_5}{2}]\Psi'_{(4)} = 0 \qquad (36)$$

  by means of a similar procedure;

- Moreover, instead of $(1 \pm \gamma^5)/2$ one can use any singular 4×4 matrix of appropriate physical dimension and still have massless particles;

- I found relevant equations in the old [16] and new [17] literature; they have been postulated therein;

- the terms $m(1 \pm \gamma_5)/2$ in the massless equation violate chiral invariance of the theory (due to $[\hat{p}, \gamma_5]_- \neq 0$). The solutions are *not* eigenstates of γ_5 operator, but in the case $m_{1,3} \to 0$ the chiral invariance is restored. So, they have been called *measures of chirality* [16b];

- In fact, corresponding $u_{\uparrow\downarrow}$, $v_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ of the Dirac massless equation are *mixed* and the "new" $\mathcal{U}_{\uparrow\downarrow}$, $\mathcal{V}_{\uparrow\downarrow}$, $\mathcal{U}'_{\uparrow\downarrow}$, $\mathcal{V}'_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ represent a mixture of various polarization states (depending on parameters $m_{1,3}$ and the signs of energy). This fact may be related to the recent research of Majorana-like constructs ($m \neq 0$) when we also mixed solutions of the Dirac equation in order to obtain self/anti-self charge conjugate states [18].

The conclusions are:

- There are a lot of speculations in the literature on the third state of photon polarization. They present, in fact, since the 30s-50s. One should finally check these claims in experiments;

- Dynamics of massless particles may differ from those derived from the well-known Weyl and Maxwell equations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I greatly appreciate discussions with Profs. A. Gersten, A. Raspini and A. F. Pashkov. I thank Dra. M. Kirchbach for discussions of the $(1/2, 1/2)$ representation and of the possible physical significance of the gauge in 1998-99.

This work has been partly supported by the Mexican Sistema Nacional de Investigadores and the Programa de Apoyo a la Carrera Docente.

---

[1] Gersten A 1999 *Found. Phys. Lett.* **12** 291; 2000 ibid. **13** 185

[2] Dvoeglazov V V 2000 *J. Phys A: Math. Gen.* **33** 5011

[3] Gersten A 2000 *Maxwell Equations – the One-Photon Quantum Equations.* Preprint, Ben-Gurion University, Israel

[4] Dvoeglazov V V 2000 *Generalized Neutrino Equations from the Einstein Postulate.* Preprint EFUAZ FT-00-86, quant-ph/0012107, Zacatecas

[5] Sakurai J J 1967 *Advanced Quantum Mechanics* (Addison-Wesley), §3.2 (see also footnote on p. 91 and Dvoeglazov V V 2000 *Investigación Científica* **2** 5)

[6] Sudbery A. 1986 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **19** L33

[7] Dvoeglazov V V 1993 *Hadronic J.* **16** 459

[8] Abreu E M C and Hott M 2000 *Phys. Rev. D* **62** 027702

[9] Imaeda K 1950 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **5** 133; Ohmura T (Kikuta) 1956 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **16** 684; *ibid* 685

[10] Donev S and Tashkova M 1998 *Ann. Fond. L de Broglie* **23** 89; *ibid* 103; *ibid* 109; Donev S 2000 Extended Electrodynamics: Basic Equations and Photon-like (3+1)-Soliton Solutions in Vacuum. *Photon: Old Problems in Light of New Ideas.* Ed. V. V. Dvoeglazov, pp. 32-56. Series *Contemporary Fundamental Physics* (Huntington, NY: Nova Science Publishers)

[11] Ogievetskiĭ V I and Polubarinov I V 1966 *Yadern. Fiz.* **4** 216 [English translation: 1967 *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **4** 156]

[12] Hayashi K 1973 *Phys. Lett.* **B44** 497; Kalb M and Ramond P. 1974 *Phys. Rev.* **D9** 2273

[13] Dvoeglazov V V 2000 Longitudinal Nature of Antisymmetric Tensor Field After Quantization and Importance of the Normalization. *Photon: Old Problems in Light of New Ideas.* Ed. V. V. Dvoeglazov, pp. 319-337. Series *Contemporary Fundamental Physics* (Huntington, NY: Nova Science Publishers)

[14] Dvoeglazov V V 1997 *Helv. Phys. Acta* **70** 677; *ibid* 686; *ibid* 697; 1998 *Ann. Fond. L. de Broglie* **23** 116; 1998 *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **37** 1915

[15] Weinberg S 1964 *Phys. Rev.* **B133** 1318; *ibid* **B134** 882; *ibid* **181** 1893

[16] Tokuoka Z 1967 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **37** 603; Gupta N D S 1967 *Nucl. Phys.* **B4** 147

[17] Raspini A 1994 *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **33** 1503; 1996 *Fizika B* **5** 159; 1997 *Fizika B* **6** 123; 1998 *Fizika B* **7** 83; 1999 A Review of Some Alternative Descriptions of Neutrino. *Photon and Poincaré Group.* Ed. V. V. Dvoeglazov. Series *Contemporary Fundamental Physics* (Commack, NY: Nova Science), p. 181-188

[18] Barut A O and Ziino G 1993 *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A8** 1011; Ziino G 1996 *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A11** 2081; Dvoeglazov V V 1996 *Nuovo Cim.* **B111** 483; 1997 *Fizika* **B6** 111 ; 1997 *Hadronic J.* **20** 435; Ahluwalia D V 1996 *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A11** 1855